G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references

Present

1921-22

File No. 65-21

Serial Nos. 1-82

Later references

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1-82

Part B for September 1921.

SUBJECT

Contribution payable by the Bangalore City Municipality towards the maintenance of the E. S. Hospital at Bangalore.

8(2) In the Mandal-Blair City Mulki L 1861-62 26-9-21.

Notes Head, under the circumstances explained, the Govt. of India bear the cost of one half of the cost.

Mr. Abdur Rahman

7-10-21

WD 1'22—GFB—16,826—23-7-21

3 R S 11] 1921-22

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mil)

NOTES

File No 65-21

FILE HEADING

Contribution payable by the Bangalore city Municipality towards the maintenance of the E. D Hospital at Bangalore.

From

The President, Bangalore city Municipal.

No 4 Dated 8-7-21 Received 12-7-21

Diary No 274. Enclos - Spare Copies Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

States that the City Municipal Council originally agreed to bear ⅓rd of the cost of the E. D Hospital at Bangalore, but the Govt in Para 2 of their orders of G. 24949-52/Mil 35-19-1919 24-6-21 have fixed the Municipal quota at one-half of the cost and requests under the circumstances stated that the Municipal contribution may be fixed at ⅓ originally agreed to by the Municipal Council.

NOTES AND ORDERS

The Bangalore City Municipal Council had agreed to contribute 1/3rd of the cost of maintenance of the E. D. Hospital at Bangalore in case the Civil Board of Bangalore and Govt share

NOTES—First Sheet

G. R. S III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mil File No 65 of 1921

Serial No

the remaining 2/3rds equally. (Vide Mil Council's resolution of the 12th June 1921)

2. As the Dist Board of Bangalore did not agree to bear their share of 1/3rd of the cost, Govt in their order of the 24th June 1921 fixed the contribution payable by the Bangalore City Municipality at 1/2. (Vide the Council's resolution of the 9th May 1921).

Submitted for orders

6.8.21

3. Note Secy

It will be seen that both with regard to Mysore & Bangalore, the resolutions of the Mil Councils were slightly departed from, and the proportion of 1/3rd & 1/2 was fixed upon by Govt. The Dist Boards having been relieved of any portion of the cost the proportion to be borne by the Gov & the Mil Councils was increased. This may be explained with the that the Mil Cl may acquiesce

Dewan

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on AUG 23 1921
Reg. No. 1246

Note—Contn sheet

274

3

G R S III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

ML File No. 65 of 1921.

No. 1246

Serial No

1. Yes. I think the action taken of the Bd Board is quite reasonable. Unless some bona fide account is filed, it is not advisable to grant more than the provision by the amended Rules

29/9/21

Draft to Sub for approval

8-9-21

3rd number)

Issue, keeping clean copy of draft

15/9

2. Mr Prescott — Bangalore City Municipality No. L 1865 & 26/9/21.

States that, under the circumstances explained, the Mr counsel must bear the cost and one half of the cost

NOTE—Contn. sh. 2

OFFICE OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,

No.

Bangalore City, dated 8th JULY. 1921

From

B K GARUDACHAR, ESQ.,
President, Municipal Council,
BANGALORE CITY

E 274
E 12 7 21

To

B.Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B A, B L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department,
BANGALORE

Rel 65-21-1

SIR,

Adverting to Government Proceedings No G.24949-52 (1) Med 35-19-18 dated 24-6-21 sanctioning the revision of the establishment and other charges of the Epidemic Diseases Hospitals at Bangalore and Mysore and directing that the contribution to be paid by the Bangalore City Municipality be fixed at one half and that by the Mysore City Municipality at one third of the total cost, I have the honor to state that an estimate of the cost of maintenance of the E D Hospital at Bangalore was received from the Chief Secretary with his letter No. G 25911-12/Med 35-19-15 dated 14-5-1920 enquiring of the City Municipal Council were agreeable to meet 1/3 of the cost in case the District Board of Bangalore contributed 1/3 and the Government, the remaining 1/3 Accordingly the subject was discussed by the Council at their meeting held on the 12th June 1920 and a resolution was passed agreeing to bear the 1/3 of the cost as proposed A copy of this resolution was forwarded to the Chief Secretary with this Office letter No 292 dated 21-6-20 Thus the question of contribution towards the cost by the Council has been settled. It is not understood how in the Government

P T O

1042

192

From The Honorary President City Municipality Bangalore

To The Secretary to Govt New Delhi Bangalore

Dated
Despatched } 8 7 21
Received

General No 4
No

Enclosure No

11 JUL 1921

No comments upon the municipality has also the thanks of the E & H Department

Regretting that the contribution to be paid by the municipality may be treated as 1/3 of the cost

Government Order of 24-6-1921, the Municipal contribution is now fixed at ½ of the cost. The Municipal Council have already heavy responsibilities and have undertaken several improvements recently The cost of the maintenance of the E.D Hospital as recently sanctioned by Government is far in excess of the previous cost and the Municipal Council feel that they are unable to bear more than 1/3 of the same.

I, therefore, request that as originally agreed to, the contribution to be paid by the Bangalore City Municipal Council be fixed at 1/3 of the cost as in the case of the other Municipality at Mysore and not at one half.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Honorary President

D.N.R.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

General and Revenue Secretariat,

No L. 1865 / 65-21-2

Dated, Bangalore 26th September 1921

From D. Srinivasiengar, Esq., B.A.,
~~Chief~~ Secretary to Government, Rev. Deptt.

To
B. L. Garudachar, Esq.,
President, Bangalore City Municipal Council.

SIR

With reference to your letter No. 4 dated 8th July 1921 requesting that the contribution payable by the Bangalore City Municipal Council towards the maintenance of the Epidemic Diseases Hospital at Bangalore, may be fixed at 1/3rd instead of at half of the cost as directed by Government, I am directed to state that it is the duty of the Municipal Council under Section 54(o) of the Municipal Regulation to make proper arrangements for the control of epidemics and treatment of persons suffering from infectious diseases that as such the Municipality should have borne the whole cost, that it is a concession shown by the Government

to

to the Municipality that they have agreed to bear half the cost and that the District Board cannot be called upon to contribute anything towards the cost, as it is not one of their duties to maintain an A.D.Hospital within Municipal limits. In these circumstances Government trust that the Municipal Council will agree to the increased contribution.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Clean copy

for Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

CKV 2

G R S VI—G/32]

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to 274

General and Revenue Secretariat

191

Date of issue 28-9-21

Signed by Mr W. C. Subbaraya

Section [illegible]

File No. 65-21

Serial No 2

No. [illegible]

The Secretary to the Bangalore City Municipal Council.

Sir,

With ref to your letter No. 48 dt 8th July 1921 requesting ~~[illegible]~~ that the contribution to be paid by the Bangalore City Municipal Council towards the maintenance of the E. D. Hospital, Bangalore, may be fixed at ⅓rd instead of at

over

half of the cost of the new [illegible] as directed by Govt.

It is stated that the District Board of Rangpur having been relieved of one-half of the cost of the institution in question, the proportion to be borne by the Govt and the City Municipal Council was increased to one-half of the cost.

It is to be regretted that the Municipal Council was not consulted prior to the sanction being accorded.

I have

[illegible]

It is stated that the Municipal contribution was deliberately fixed at one-half instead of at one-third as it was thought that it was not reasonable to levy a contribution on this behalf from the District Board as very few patients from the district availed themselves of the treatment at the Hospital. In these circumstances, Govt trust that the Municipal Council will agree to the increased contribution being fixed in the Order.

It is hereby pointed out that the Municipal Council under section 54(2) of the Municipal Act is made liable for the cost of the Specimens which need 2 years of suffering from infectious diseases that as such the Municipality should have borne the whole cost but it becomes consequence that by the 1st to the Municipality that [illegible] have decided to bear half the cost and that the District Board Council be called upon to pay the balance [illegible] cost as it is not [illegible] duties to the [illegible] Hospitals [illegible] Municipal Board. In the circumstances [illegible] the Municipal Council [illegible]

Inst of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by